

Factors Influencing Self-Confidence of Performance regarding Electrocardiographic Monitoring in Emergency Department and Intensive Care Unit Nurses



<u>Mi Ji Kim. RN, MSN¹</u>, Jae Yong Yoo, PhD. RN²

-ing

¹ Chosun University Hospital, Emergency Medical Center, Gwangju, South Korea, Emergency Room Nurse ² Department of Nursing, College of Medicine, Chosun University, Gwangju, South Korea, Assistant Professor

ntroc	luction

Interpreting an electrocardiogram (ECG) is an essential competency for nurses. In addition, self-confidence of performance regarding ECG monitoring is essential to achieving positive results for nurses of patients with heart problems. Emergency department and intensive care unit nurses often care for patients with heart problems, and there is a high demand for emergency and crisis nursing professionals. To effectively increase nurses' capability in ECG monitoring, it is necessary to cultivate up-to-date knowledge on systematic education and actual clinical training.

 Results

 Correlation between Knowledge, self-confidence of performance regarding ECG monitoring

	_		Self-confidence									
Variables		Understanding ECG		Applying nursing practice		Total						
		r	p	r	p	r	р					
	Characteristics of normal wave	.24	.003	.17	.040	.22	.005					
	Characteristics of abnormal waves	.17	.042	.19	.020	.19	.022					
knowledge												

Purpose

This cross-sectional study aims to identify the influencing factors of self-confidence of performance regarding ECG monitoring of emergency department and intensive care unit nurses and to identify educational needs related to ECG monitoring.

Methods

Design : Cross-sectional descriptive study design

*Subjects

The subjects of this study were 153 nurses working in one emergency room and four intensive care units at C University Hospital located in G city.

Instruments

The structured questionnaire included knowledge, self-confidence, and educational needs related to ECG monitoring.

Data Analysis : The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, t-test, ANOVA, Pearson correlation, multiple regression, and Eisenhower matrix analysis using the SPSS 26.0 program.

Results

General characteristics of participants

• Gender: Women (n=144, 94.1%), Men (n=9, 5.9%)

Visual presentation	.03	.743	.09	.295	.05	.505
Total	.18	.023	.19	.016	.20	.013

Factors Influencing self-confidence of performance regarding ECG monitor

	Self-confidence														
Variables	Understanding ECG				Applying nursing practice				Total						
	В	S.E	β	t	р	В	S.E	β	t	р	В	S.E	β	t	p
(Constant)	27.71	3.31		8.38	<.001	18.12	2.35		7.71	<.001	45.83	5.27		8.69	<.001
knowledge	0.28	0.24	.09	1.17	.245	0.20	0.17	.09	1.18	.239	0.47	0.38	.10	1.26	.210
Experience of education*	3.20	1.44	.17	2.22	.028	2.96	1.03	.22	2.89	.004	6.16	2.30	.21	2.68	.008
Frequency of searching database*	3.61	1.46	.20	2.47	.015	1.43	1.04	.11	1.38	.171	5.05	2.34	.17	2.16	.032
Clinical career of current unit*	2.22	1.45	.12	1.53	.129	3.17	1.03	.24	3.06	.003	5.39	2.32	.18	2.32	.022
Working department*	1.77	1.66	.09	1.07	.288	2.37	1.18	.16	2.02	.046	4.14	2.64	.12	1.57	.119
		<i>R</i> ² =.	113, ad	j. <i>R</i> ²=.08	2,		<i>R</i> ² =.1	63 , ad	j. <i>R</i> ²=.13	4,		<i>R</i> ² =.145	5 , adj. <i>l</i>	₹²=.116	,
	F=3.71 , <i>p</i> =.003			F=5.69, <i>p</i> <.001				F=4.95, <i>p</i> <.001							
		Durb	in-Wate	son=1.9	32		Durbi	n-Wats	on=1.97	7 2		Durbin-	Watsor	า=1.917	

SE=Standard error, Dummy variable: Frequency of using searching database= < once a month, Experience of education=No, Working department=ED, Clinical career of current unit= < 3 year

Factors affecting self-confidence in performing ECG monitoring were 'completion of education on ECG monitoring' (β =.21, p=.008), 'frequency of searching for up-to-date evidence' (β =.17, p=032), and 'clinical experience in current department' (β =.18, p=022). The explanatory power of the model was 14.5%.

Educational Needs about ECG monitoring

- Mean age = 29.7 (\pm 6.2) years
- Clinical experience=mean 78.3 months
- Department: ED (n=40, 26.1%). EICU (n=23, 15.1%), MICU (n=21, 13.7%)
 NCU (n=34, 22.2%), SCIU (n=35, 22.9%)

Self-confidence of performance regarding ECG monitoring by the charact -eristics of participants

								(11-100)		
					Self-confid	dence				
Variables	Categories	n(%)	Understandir	ng ECG	Applying nursin	g practice	Total			
			M±SD	t or F (<i>p</i>)	M±SD	t or F (p)	M±SD	t or F (p)		
general characteri	stics									
Condor	Female	144(94.1)	36.96±9.41	-0.98	26.25±3.79	-0.57	63.21±15.21	-0.86		
Gender	Male	9(5.9)	40.11±8.25	(.328)	27.56±4.93	(.572)	67.67±12.90	(.392)		
	20-25	40(26.1)	36.08±10.42		23.98±7.32		60.05±17.31			
	26-30	63(41.2)	36.81±8.03	1.18	27.25±6.24	3.05	64.06±12.88	1.80		
Age(year)	31-35	28(18.3)	40.07±8.57	(.320)	28.25±4.98	(.030)	68.32±12.64	(.150)		
	≥36	22(14.4)	36.32±11.47		25.50±7.67		61.82±18.40			
Education	Bachelor	135(88.2)	36.92±8.84	-0.62	26.38±6.52	-0.27	13.14±3.03	1.41		
Education	≥Master	18(11.8)	38.83±12.73	(.544)	26.83±7.16	(.785)	12.06±3.40	(.162)		
Policion	Yes	35(22.9)	37.60±10.86	0.33	25.91±7.28	-0.41	63.51±17.19	0.02		
Religion	No	118(77.1)	37.01±8.90	(.744)	26.45±6.53	(.679)	63.46±14.49	(.985)		
clinical characteris	stics									
	ED	40(26.1)	35.48±9.49		24.60±7.30		60.08±15.50			
Working department	EICU	23(15.1)	35.87±10.17		26.70±5.72		62.57±15.34			
	MICU	21(13.7)	32.90±9.72	3.10 (.018)	24.43±7.01	1.79 (.134)	57.33±15.62	2.85 (.026)		
	NCU	34(22.2)	39.59±8.72		27.41±6.84		67.00±14.90			
	SICU	35(22.9)	40.06±7.82		28.14±5.77		68.20±12.57			
Position	Staff nurse	145(94.8)	36.99±9.43	-0.85	26.20±6.65	-1.00 (.320)	63.19±15.10	-0.97 (.334)		
FOSILION	Charge nurse	8(5.2)	39.88±7.85	(.398)	27.63±7.41		68.50±14.81			
Work shift	3-shift	143(93.5)	36.80±9.34	-1.71	26.15±6.69	-1.26	62.95±15.06	-1.62		
WORK Shint	day 2-shift	10(6.5)	42.00±8.51	(.089)	28.90±6.57	(.210)	70.90±14.25	(.107)		
Total clinical	<3 year	40(26.1)	35.95±10.33	-0.94	23.97±6.75	-2.77	59.58±16.95	-1.92		
Career	≥3 year	113(73.9)	37.57±8.99	(.349)	27.28±6.33	(.006)	64.85±14.20	(.057)		
Clinical career of	<3 year	60(39.2)	35.98±9.73	-1.24	24.69±6.61	-2.65	60.43±15.99	-2.02		
current Unit	≥3 year	93(60.8)	37.89±9.07	(.219)	27.54±6.35	(.009)	65.43±14.22	(.045)		
Characteristics of	using evidence rela	ated to ECG mo	onitoring							
Frequency of	< once a month	66(43.1)	34.73±8.84	-2 85	25.26±7.14	-1 73	59.98±14.81	-2 53		
Using searching Database	≥once a month	87(56.9)	38.98±9.36	(.005)	27.14±6.28	(.085)	66.11±14.84	(.012)		
Experience of	Yes	64(41.8)	38.77±9.99	1.83	27.97±6.15	2.62	66.73±15.12	2.30		
Education	No	89(58.2)	35.98±8.73	(.069)	25.15±6.84	(.010)	61.12±14.71	(.023)		
Education period	<2 year	29(45.3)	42.52±8.98	2.89	29.17±5.22	1.44	71.69±13.47	2.48		
(n=64)	≥2 year	35(54.7)	35.66±9.83	(.005)	26.97±6.74 (.156)		62.63±15.35	5 (.016)		
Related	Yes	111(72.5)	37.63±9.48	1.05	26.39±6.73	0.18	64.02±15.27	0.73		
Certificate	No	42(27.5)	35.86±8.97	(.297)	26.17±6.67 (.856)		62.02±14.68	(.467)		
Certificate update	<1 year	3(2.7)	44.33±9.29	1.24	29.00±7.00	0.68	73.33±16.29	1.07		
Period (n=111)	≥1 year	108(97.3)	37.44±9.46	(.216)	26.31±6.74	(.498)	63.76±15.24	(.286)		

Contents	Categories	n	%	M±SD
	Is not necessary at all	0	0.0	
	Not necessary	0	0.0	
Necessity of ECG monitoring education	Moderate	7	4.6	
	Necessary	40	26.1	
	Very necessary	106	69.3	
	Is not Participation at all	0	0.0	
	Not Participation	2	1.3	
related Education Participation Decision	Moderate	13	8.5	
	Participation	52	34.0	
	Very Participation	86	56.2	
Appropriate times for training (year)				1.99±1.91(time
Appropriate time per session for training (time)				1.99±1.31(hou
	Lecture	58	33.5	
	Online	67	38.7	
Preferred Education Method	Simulation	35	20.2	
	VR(AR)	3	1.7	
	Practicum using Standardized Patients	10	5.8	

Prioritized ECG monitoring educational content areas using the Eisenhower Matrix



2022 Conference of Korean Society of Adult Nursing

Contact information: mjkim@chosun.kr